

Juanita R. Brooks (CA SBN 75934)
brooks@fr.com
 Roger A. Denning (CA SBN 228998)
denning@fr.com
 Jason W. Wolff (CA SBN 215819)
wolff@fr.com
 John-Paul Fryckman (CA 317591)
fryckman@fr.com
 K. Nicole Williams (CA 291900)
nwilliams@fr.com
 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
 12860 El Camino Real, Ste. 400
 San Diego, CA 92130
 Telephone: (858) 678-5070
 Facsimile: (858) 678-5099

Proshanto Mukherji (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
mukherji@fr.com
 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
 One Marina Park Drive
 Boston, MA 02210
 Telephone: (617) 542-5070
 Facsimile: (617) 542-5906

Robert Courtney (CA SNB 248392)
courtney@fr.com
 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
 3200 RBC Plaza
 60 South Sixth Street
 Minneapolis, MN 55402
 Telephone: (612) 335-5070
 Facsimile: (612) 288-9696

Attorneys for Plaintiff
 FINJAN LLC

Nicole E. Grigg (CA SBN 233107)
negrigg@duanemorris.com
 DUANE MORRIS LLP
 2475 Hanover Street
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1194
 Telephone: (650) 847 4150
 Facsimile: (650) 847 4151

Matthew C. Gaudet (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
mcgaudet@duanemorris.com
 John R. Gibson (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
jrgibson@duanemorris.com
 Robin L. McGrath (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
rlmcgrath@duanemorris.com
 David C. Dotson (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
dcdotson@duanemorris.com
 Jennifer H. Forte (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
jhforte@duanemorris.com
 DUANE MORRIS LLP
 1075 Peachtree Street, Ste. 1700
 Atlanta, GA 30309
 Telephone: (404) 253 6900
 Facsimile: (404) 253 6901

Joseph A. Powers (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
japowers@duanemorris.com
 Jarrad M. Gunther (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
jmgunther@duanemorris.com
 DUANE MORRIS LLP
 30 South 17th Street
 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 979 1000
 Facsimile: (215) 979 1020

Attorneys for Defendant
 SONICWALL INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 (SAN JOSE DIVISION)

FINJAN LLC., a Delaware Limited Liability
 Company,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

 SONICWALL, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

 Defendant.

Case No. 5:17-cv-04467-BLF-VKD

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER**

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
 Case No. 5:17-cv-04467-BLF-VKD

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54, and in view of
2 the Court's orders addressing summary judgment and claim construction in this case, the parties
3 have met and conferred and hereby offer the following stipulation.

4 1. The Court has entered dispositive orders as to all claims brought by Finjan in this
5 case, except for: (a) Finjan's claims of infringement under the '926 Patent relating to the
6 combination of a SonicWall Gateway with SonicWall's Capture ATP product; and (b) Finjan's
7 claims of infringement under the '408 Patent relating to SonicWall's Capture ATP product
8 standing alone (i.e., not combined with any SonicWall Gateway).

9 2. **As to Finjan's remaining infringement claims under the '926 Patent:** The Court
10 has previously determined in the context of the '494, '844, and '780 Patents that "SonicWall
11 Gateways do not receive 'Downloadables'" under the construction of the term Downloadable.
12 (Summ. J. Order of Mar. 5, 2021). The parties stipulate and agree that, solely as to the issue of
13 interpreting the claim limitation "a receiver for receiving an incoming Downloadable" in the
14 asserted claims, the Court's determination that "SonicWall Gateways do not receive
15 'Downloadables'" applies to the asserted claims of the '926 Patent. Finjan further stipulates that
16 under the determination made in the Court's Summary Judgement Order of March 5, 2021, Finjan
17 is unable to present evidence sufficient to prove infringement of the '926 Patent by SonicWall. In
18 view of the foregoing, and with a shared understanding that Finjan's stipulated inability to prove
19 infringement of the '926 Patent by the combination of a SonicWall Gateway with SonicWall's
20 Capture ATP product is based solely on the interpretation of the "receiving" limitation as set forth
21 above and the Court's other orders, the parties stipulate and agree that judgment for SonicWall is
22 warranted as to Finjan's claims for infringement of the '926 Patent, and so move for the same.

23 3. **As to Finjan's remaining infringement claims under the '408 Patent:** Finjan
24 withdraws its contention that SonicWall's Capture ATP product, standing alone, practices each
25 and every limitation of the asserted '408 Patent claims. The parties stipulate and agree that such
26 withdrawal is without any concession as to any individual limitation, or any subset of limitations,
27 for any asserted claim, and further that each party shall bear its own costs and fees for the
28 withdrawn contentions. In view of said withdrawal, the Court's previous orders fully dispose of

1 Finjan's claims for infringement of the '408 Patent. The parties therefore stipulate and agree that
 2 judgment for SonicWall is warranted as to Finjan's claims for infringement of the '408 Patent, and
 3 so move for the same.

4 4. **Stipulated motion for entry of final judgment.** The parties jointly agree that the
 5 Court's orders to date, combined with the stipulations above, leave Finjan unable to establish
 6 SonicWall's liability for any of Finjan's remaining claims in the present case. Finjan notes that it
 7 has not yet had an opportunity to submit any of the Court's orders for appellate review, and
 8 reserves its ability to prove SonicWall's liability should a court of appeals modify the Court's
 9 orders. On that basis, and so as to enable appellate review, the parties jointly agree that should the
 10 Court approve said stipulations, final judgment for SonicWall on all claims would be
 11 warranted, with each party bearing its own attorney fees and case expenses in connection with the
 12 claims of the '926 and '408 Patents subject to this stipulation, except such costs that are
 13 recoverable by SonicWall as the prevailing party, and so move for the same.

14 IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY STIPULATED pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12 and
 15 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54 by and among the parties, and the parties do jointly hereby
 16 request that the Court enter an order that:

- 17 1. The Court's determination that "SonicWall Gateways do not receive
 18 'Downloadables'" in connection with the '494, '844, and '780 Patents should, and
 19 hereby does, control the interpretation of the limitation "a receiver for receiving an
 20 incoming Downloadable" in the asserted claims in the asserted claims of the '926
 21 Patent. Finjan having stipulated that it is unable to prove infringement under such
 22 an interpretation, and in view of the Court's previous orders addressing the '926
 23 Patent, the Court finds that SonicWall does not infringe the asserted claims of the
 24 '926 Patent.
- 25 2. Finjan having withdrawn its contention that SonicWall's Capture ATP product,
 26 standing alone, practices each and every limitation of the asserted '408 Patent
 27 claims (without concession as to any individual limitation or subset of limitations),
 28

and in view of the Court's previous orders addressing the '408 Patent, the Court finds that SonicWall does not infringe the asserted claims of the '408 Patent.

3. The determinations above, combined with the Court's previous orders, resolve all of Finjan's claims against SonicWall in the present case. The Court will enter final judgment for SonicWall on all claims, with each party bearing its own attorney fees and case expenses except such costs that are recoverable by SonicWall as the prevailing party.

Dated: September 3, 2021

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

By: /s/ Juanita R. Brooks

Juanita R. Brooks

brooks@fr.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

FINJAN LLC

Dated: September 3, 2021

DUANE MORRIS LLP

By: /s/ Matthew C. Gaudet

Matthew C. Gaudet

mCGaudet@duanemorris.com

Attorney for Defendant

SONICWALL INC.

Pursuant to Civ. Local Rule 5.1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from counsel for Sonicwall.

Dated: September 3, 2021

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

By: /s/ Juanita R. Brooks

Juanita R. Brooks

brooks@fr.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

FINJAN LLC

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2021.

The Honorable Beth Labson Freeman
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE